

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/840,132	05/06/2004	David A. Giardino	CP-5165	7236
7590 04/12/2006			EXAMINER	
Joseph J. Christian			CHUKWURAH, NATHANIEL C	
Schmeiser, Olsen & Watts LLP			ADTIBUT	DARED MUADED
Suite 201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3 Lear Jet Lane			3721	
Latham, NY 12110			DATE MAILED: 04/12/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/840,132	GIARDINO, DAVID A.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Nathaniel C. Chukwurah	3721				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ The 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow	<ul> <li>✓ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 January 2006</u>.</li> <li>✓ This action is FINAL.</li> <li>✓ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ul>					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-12 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 May 2004</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Cother:						

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Johnson (US 2,808916) in view of Brandenberg (US 3,732,934).

With regard to claim 1, Johnson discloses a control device comprising a pressure regulator (valve casing 76) comprising a valve (72), and configured to limit a maximum pressure provided to the motor (10); a torque limiting timing device (16) comprising at least one valve (72), configured to shut-off fluid flow to a motor (10) at a predetermined time (col. 4, lines 54-55; col. 5, lines 13-20); a reservoir (56) in fluid communication with the pressure regulator; and a valve (72) which adjusts the reservoir, and controls the pressure and torque limiting timing device.

The reference of Johnson discloses all claimed subject except for the torque limiting timing device being in fluid communication with a pressure regulator.

The reference of Brandenberg teaches a work control mechanism as shown in Figure 1 including a torque limiting timing device (col. 4, line 31) being in fluid communication with a pressure regulator (valve 28) for turning off fluid supply to the motor (col. 4, line 56).

In view of the teaching Brandenberg, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time invention to modify the reference of Johnson by providing the torque limiting timing device in fluid communication with the pressure regulator in order to effectively shut off fluid supply to the motor.

With regard to claim 2, the modified control device of Johnson would include user adjustable predetermined time as disclosed in the reference of Brandenberg. See (manual restrictor 23).

With regard to claim 3, the modified control device of Johnson is capable of controlling a predetermined fixed time as disclosed in the reference of Brandenberg (see col. 1, lines 47-49).

With regard to claim 4, the modified control device of Johnson includes pressure regulator a valve (74).

With regard to claim 5, the torque limiting timing device of the modified control device of Johnson is a shut-off valve (66).

With regard to claim 6, the modified control device of Johnson includes releasably attachable to a tool as disclosed in reference of Brandenberg (Fig. 2).

With regard to claims 7 and 8, the modified control device of Johnson is modular and integral with the tool as disclosed in the reference of Brandenberg. See (col. 1, lines 19-21)

With regard to claim 9, Johnson discloses the control device remote from the tool (10). See Figure 4.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Page 4

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nathaniel C. Chukwurah whose telephone number is (571) 272-4457. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:00AM-2:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on (571) 272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/840,132

Art Unit: 3721

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

NC

April 2, 2006.

Rinaldi I. Rada

Page 5

Supervisory Patent Examiner

**Group 3700**